

Furthermore, the testimony pointed out that discrimination by one restaurant in a city could encourage the practice throughout the area because of the fear of competitive advantage to be gained by the ~~XX~~ segregated restaurant in increased white trade. Senate Commerce Hearings, at 206/ This testimony raised the problem of the competitive disadvantage to which restaurants undoubtedly affecting commerce would be subjected if they alone in their in their communities were required to serve customers without regard to their color or race--a disadvantage in itself injurious to interstate

~~XX~~ already noted the testimony ~~XXXX~~
commerce. We have ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

fact pressed before the Congress to the effect that discrimination was not limited to any particular State or region but was a problem of nationwide proportions.

~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Viewing the ^{above} evidence in ~~this~~ light of the fact that racial ~~discrimination~~ *discrimination* was we must conclude that ~~XX~~ while Congress focussed on

the individual restaurant's link to interstate through its purchases of food passing in that commerce, it was entirely appropriate that it take note of the fact that