

Dear Tom:

Please delete this. I'm  
joining John.

236

18

MAPP v. OHIO.

The judgment of the Supreme Court of Ohio is reversed and the cause remanded for further proceedings not inconsistent with this opinion.

MR. JUSTICE FRANKFURTER will in due course file a separate opinion.

*Reversed and remanded.*

Agreeing fully with Part I of MR. JUSTICE HARLAN'S dissenting opinion, MR. JUSTICE STEWART expresses no view as to the merits of the issue today decided by the Court. He concurs in the Court's judgment because he is persuaded that the provision of § 2905.34 of the Ohio Revised Code, upon which the petitioner's conviction was based, is, in the words of MR. JUSTICE HARLAN, not "consistent with the rights of free thought and expression assured against State action by the Fourteenth Amendment."

Yes JV