

Court N J. Supreme Ct. Voted on , 1966
 No. 762 Argued 3/1 and 3/2, 1966 Assigned Warren C.I. 3/30, 1966
 Vide 205 Misc. Submitted , 1966 Announced , 1966

SYLVESTER JOHNSON and STANLEY CASSIDY

vs.

NEW JERSEY

5/21/65 Cert. filed.

11/22/65 Motion for leave to proceed IFP and pet. for writ of cert. granted.
 Case transferred from Misc. to App. docket and placed on summary calendar.
 Set for oral argument following #761.

2/21/66 See #759. Joint motion of counsel to remove case from summary calendar
 granted and additional 15 min. allotted to each side.

6/20/66 Judgment affirmed. Opinion by C.J., announced by Brennan, J. Clark, J.
 concurs in the opinion and judgment of this Court. He adheres, however, to
 the views stated in his separate opinion in Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. ____.
 Harlan, J., Stewart, J. and White, J. concur in the opinion and judgment
 of this Court. They continue to believe, however, for the reasons stated in
 the dissenting opinions of Harlan, J. and White, J. in Miranda v. Arizona
 and its companion cases, 384 U.S. ____, that the new constitutional rules pro-
 mulgated in those cases are both unjustified and unwise. Black, J., with
 whom Douglas, J. joins, dissents from the Court's holding that the petrs
 here are not entitled to the full protections of the 5th and 6th Amendments
 as this Court has construed them in Escobedo v. Illinois, 378 U.S. 478, and
Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. ____, for substantially the same reasons stated
 in their dissenting opinion in Linkletter v. Walker, 381 U.S. 618, at 640.

10/10/66 Rehearing denied.	CERT.		JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT				MERITS		QUESTION		ABSENT	NOT VOTING
	G	D	N	Post	Dis	Aff	REV	AFF	No	Yes		
Goldberg, J. Fortas, J.	✓							✓				
White, J.	✓						✓					
Stewart, J.	✓						✓					
Brennan, J.	✓											
Harlan, J.	✓						✓					
Clark, J.	✓							✓				
Douglas, J.	✓							✓				
Black, J.	✓							✓				
Warren, Ch. J.	✓							✓				