Nos. 34 and 44 -- Sweatt and McLaurin -- Memo to Conference

I hesitate to state my views prior to conference, but in these cases I think my convictions, based in part upon my experience in Texas, might be helpful to the Court.

First. I do not think decision should be made to turn a inequality upon the physical zxxx equality, of the educational plants or teaching faculties available to Negro and white students. I doubt that such a ground is open to us in Sweatt

and unless our judgment in Sweatt is to be a vain pronouncement upon a hypothesis which no longer exists, I do not think we can entirely ignore the new facilities Texas has made available to begroes since the trial. I do not relish profuncing the law as of three years ago, particularly when we could not, in justice to Texas, order Sweatt's admission to the Texas law school at this flee time solely on the basis of physical inequality of the three Nano substitution.

Further, we would accomplish nothing by deciding on this basis in <u>Sweatt</u> when we cannot do so in <u>McLaurin</u>, for in <u>McLaurin</u>

the only inequality is segregation itself. -- except to be sure; but where we must There are most minor physical inconveniences inconveniences statement that embraced within the rabric, "equal does not mean identical." See the cases collected in 17 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 208 (1949). of course, recite the reasons underlying Second. I need my conviction that segregated education is unequal education. The We must face facts; we know that it is. So far as I have been able to study the historical materials, nothing conclusive is shown for either regation education by statements in Congress, the legislatures or the press at the time the Amendment was adopted. A But we know that the facilities are unequal throughout the South, and, further, we knowzthat need no modern psychologist to tell us that it is to believe that the "enforced separation of the two races [does not] stamp the colored race with a badge of inferiority", Plessy v. Ferguson. We must reverse. My question is "how", not "whether" or "why".

There is fear that a flat overruling of the Plessy case

d

infraction a man

would cause defiance of our mandates x in many communities Intimidation, threats and and situations envisioned. A long and terrible step backward is forecast if Taney's attempt. we go too far forward with legal doctrines at this time. Dred Scott to resolve political and social issues of this magnitude is not comforting. I think those fears are relevant a All of this you all know. some butent I would share

those fears should we begin holding, today or tomorrow, that or parks of meeting to public out tonums

swimming pools/may not be segregated; or should we decide that

the fourth grade in the Li

issippi must be open to Negro and white alike.

beel confedent But 1 want to state with all the emphasis 1 can that those froundless by and large fears are wholly without foundation should we rule that there can be no segregation in the college or graduate level. There by selvof administrations.

will be no at defiance subversion "There will be little

grumbling. Negoes now attend the University of Texas Medical School, the Oklahoma law school, the Arkansas Medical and Law Schools. Nowhere are the forces of progress in the South more apparent than in our colleges and graduate schools.

Third. 1 am in accord with the suggestion that we limit our opinion to graduate schools. I do not suggest that we write an

peterols the White are reliable reported to have taken their own fellowship, the classes,

at branch

include the

negrols.

and in study

for over 10 years a many of our colleges in Lexas have been throwing negro speakers grantestuly some permitting mage or sponsoring negro openhers; some theyer students have been morning as deputations to negro communities, organizations, and churches; the church denominational and interdenominational groups at the colleges have been participating in interracial conferences, intracial banquets, and so summer study camps, have an engaged in interracial folk dancing on the campuses. The only protects have come from parents and others of an older generation; there have been no reports of any irregularity among the your students. a flet have Nor do of think there will be any disturbance in Connection with the admission of negroes generally omused. to southern state supported institutions of higher an occasional pranks or threat the part of under the such activity soon be sugarded as adolescent disapproved by The entere college community. a negro ochool as well as the states will continue to maintain a negro ochool as well as the sansegregated school and the at the outset only the more ambitious outle furall at the latter inetition.

opinion reaffirming Plessy as to all but college and graduate schools. I would not sign an opinion which approved Plessy.

In terms of social equality, I recognize that segregated grammar at a time and schools may instill racism in improcessorable young minds/in a manner andxaxxxxxxxxxxxxx more destructive of society's fabric than segregated colleges and graduate schools will.execute.

But our concern in these cases is not with social equality,

ofice of the stand of

formation education?

If that is the issue, we are justified in writing in the focus of graduate schools and colleges -- or graduate schools, alone.

It is entirely possible that Negroes in segregated grammar schools,

learning arithmetic and grammar from the pode truth teacher clementary clementary and the selections would receive skills in those subjects equivalent

to thezekikkexee those of white students, providing that the guality texts, physical facilities and instructors are "equal." It is obvious that the same cannot be said of graduate schools. Y The phote atmosphere of age and tradition at an established graduate school has profound effects upon its students Competence and accomplation And that atmosphere draws professors of statute in men who make the University of Lews Law School for example so different from the worth, neophyte Negro zezdenzz academy Further, the opportunities for discussion available in a larger school are literally invaluable -- there surely can be no in a particular fath subject matter substitute for the exploration and combat of ideas among man maturing minds of varied backgrounds and opinions. In this the appointing latter, this funx fundamental inequality, the Sweatt and McLaurin against Whoncases are one. then must Compete and I join, then, with the proposal that we reverse this case upon the ground that segregated graduate education denies Negroes in Mylemonal views the equal protection of the laws. I repeat that I would not approve Plessy in any manner. We have before us just two cases. Both concern graduate schools. Perhaps the fundamental legal adequately to accomplish reason for limiting discussion to graduate schools is that we there proformal tastes.

Luow.

der of state

* 1365

should avoid the decision of Constitutional questions in advance of the struct strict necessity for that decision.

Frammar school that is incleased. Should they arise tomorrow I would vote to deny certiorari or dismiss the appeal. That is ruthless; but it is not lawless. I cannot agree that the effects of a decision upon masses of people on in our society is irrelevant to the resultion of Constitutional questions of this type and of this magnitude.

District of Columbia, which arises under the Fifth Amendment; and the extremely remote possibility that will the nearly incredible case in which a state court conclude that Plessy should be overruled entirely.

I join with those who would hold that whatever the present validity of Plessy v. Ferguson, there is no square ruling in this Court that separate graduate education is equal education within the meaning of the Fourteenth Amendment; and that for the reasons outlined above, and the petitioner Sweatt should be admitted to the University of Texas Law School at Austin, and the discrimination against McLaurin at Oklahoma is ordered at an end.