

Our Mr. Laurier + Sweatt -

1. Our separate & equal I don't think record shows equal in either case. It would make burden as heavy on State as we do in discrimination on juries. Can't set up new school that is equal - common sense tells us. - Brown would prefer separate & equal but cannot have same here. We could under that policy handle the cases one by one and not cause trouble -

2. This system was designed to get up a caste system - contrary to 14th Amendment - a hangover from the Civil War. It is a badge of inferiority. If we reach this question then I shall meet it regardless of the cases of this Court.

Reed ::

Of course we have the power to cast aside statutes. It is hard for me to say something that has been constitutional for years is suddenly bad. The 14th Amendment was not aimed at segregation. No statement by anyone such as Congress etc that segregation unconstitutional. We

have made great progress. It would be  
unfortunate at this time for us to say  
segregation unconstitutional. I agree  
on Henderson. We can go off on  
the statute -

One idea when you admit a student  
they must all be equal -

On Texas I would prefer to say we  
have problems of whether facilities are  
equal - I would say facilities are  
equal in Texas. I would send back  
for correction & amendment of record.

Frankfurter - should be decided aside  
from any doctrine - or intentions as  
we construe them of 14th Amendment - No one  
knows what was intended -

Cases are difficult - disposition  
of Henderson on statute means what?

On Sweatt - This is no  
Dred Scott case. Here is  
the slow growth of insight and  
understanding. To have two schools



is not equality. It can't be made so.  
Haines speaks 1886 at Harvard on use of  
law schools in talking re "specialists" -  
all lawyers are specialists who have taken all  
law to be their province - a branch closer  
to the ideals of mankind - ~~but~~ the school  
must be the workshop & the nursery. The  
enthusiasm of the lecture room etc should reach  
the student's pastures -

Dryden - we should meet issue head-on.  
I might go along on separate & equal if no overtones  
that segregation in education is constitutional.  
Perhaps we have to write Perry on deciding  
Harden & McLaughlin -

Tappan - I think Southern whites are as  
much "caste" as negroes -

14th amendment history leaves you  
without support. Congress has not touched  
it. In effect we are amending the  
Constitution -

Government has not done it.

It would destroy the public schools in Va.  
Desirable to do it (reverse) My views  
are fluid enough to join any theory -

## Burton

McLaurin a case where you have been  
admitted - hence must be equally  
treated - otherwise there is discrimination -  
at post graduate level cannot have Equal  
and separate -

## Winton 1 -

No constitutional question involved.  
We have a statute (original I.C.C. Act)  
providing in shipping no particular  
person shall be subjected to unequal  
treatment Statute denies what is here?

On other 2 cases equal protection  
clause applies -

Admit in white school than  
on equal footing -

In Texas can't get in - unreasonable



classification - Perhaps we can  
meet grade & high schools where  
we get to it. Unreasonable classi-  
fication -

C.T.

In Henderson = Mitchell decided suit properly brought - new car subsequent to suit etc. That controls here - hence reverse on statute -

McLaurin :- action in this Court in Sipuel + Fisher says she was entitled to education at state expense - must admit her or provide equal facilities - If she not admitted then no whites could be admitted - Reverse McLaurin on basis of State took that course then without discrimination.

Sweatt - attempt to utilize benefits of separate + equal - Sweatt says he would not enter separate school for it could not be equal - I think this issue settled by legislative history surrounding adoption of 14th Amendment - rely on legislative history of Act of 1866 re single schools. Haven't conceived that they did not have that problem before them in Sumner's time etc.

Here in D.C. Congress maintains separate schools. States of North passed legislation providing for separate schools. Hence at that time it must have been thought that separate schools constitutional. Harlan - does not mention public schools in dissent in Plessy. Harlan wrote Cummings. All of these cases - Cummings - Turner etc. had separate program - in Quinn this Court said



same type of language -

Shelley v Kramer boilerplate - its reviews  
all these cases evidently with approval - only  
one case in the group cited in Shelley v Kramer  
had even slight difference -

No times to extend Constitution -  
when we have all this historical background  
it is hard for me to say schools should  
not be separate -

How can you have constitutional  
provision as to graduate but not  
as to elementary?

I don't if separate + equal is true  
on Sweatt - only constitutional issue.

I tend toward affirming

Block on Henderson;

I think statute covers it -  
Even Plessy talks of reasonable  
segregation -